



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,739	06/26/2003	Frederic Seguineau	Q76071	4864
23373	7590 05/21/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			HELLNER, MARK	
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20037		3663	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,739	SEGUINEAU ET AL.	4J
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Hellner	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	'SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			ents is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	. *	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)	n 🗖 I-4 :	· (DTO 442)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05172004.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/603,739

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stolen.

Stolen discloses a device for time division demultiplexing of optical signals (lambda s) having a bit rate on a signal line (512), comprising:

A Raman active medium (530);

A pump source (520) for generating a periodic pump signal (lambda p) having a period B/n where n => 2; and

A coupler (526) for coupling the optical signal and the pump signal into the Raman medium.

The structure recited above reads on claims 1, 3, 8 and 9.

Claim 2 is taught by column 6, line 42.

The filter of claim 7 is shown by element (532).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/603,739

Art Unit: 3663

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stolen.

Claim 4 would have been obvious because a person of ordinary skill in the art would have known that Raman pumping of the optical signal would work for any pump wavelength that it within a multiple of the Stokes shift.

Claims 5 and 6 would have been obvious because a delay would have more precisely matched the pump and optical signals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 703 306 4155.

Mark Hellner

May 17, 2004



Mark Hellia